

A Larger Equational Proof on Lists

A Law of Reverse

For a more difficult example, let's consider the reverse function.

We pick its inefficient definition, because its more amenable to equational proofs:

```
Nil.reverse = Nil           // 1st clause
(x :: xs).reverse = xs.reverse ++ List(x) // 2nd clause
```

We'd like to prove the following proposition

```
xs.reverse.reverse = xs
```

Proof

By induction on xs . The base case is easy:

```
Nil.reverse.reverse  
= Nil.reverse           // by 1st clause of reverse  
= Nil                   // by 1st clause of reverse
```

Proof

By induction on xs . The base case is easy:

```
Nil.reverse.reverse
= Nil.reverse      // by 1st clause of reverse
= Nil              // by 1st clause of reverse
```

For the induction step, let's try:

```
(x :: xs).reverse.reverse
= (xs.reverse ++ List(x)).reverse // by 2nd clause of reverse
```

Proof

By induction on xs . The base case is easy:

```
Nil.reverse.reverse
= Nil.reverse      // by 1st clause of reverse
= Nil              // by 1st clause of reverse
```

For the induction step, let's try:

```
(x :: xs).reverse.reverse
= (xs.reverse ++ List(x)).reverse // by 2nd clause of reverse
```

We can't do anything more with this expression, therefore we turn to the right-hand side:

```
x :: xs
= x :: xs.reverse.reverse // by induction hypothesis
```

Both sides are simplified in different expressions.

To Do

We still need to show:

$$\textcircled{\text{xs.reverse}}^{ys} ++ \text{List}(x)).\text{reverse} = x :: \textcircled{\text{xs.reverse}}^{ys}.\text{reverse}$$

Trying to prove it directly by induction doesn't work.

We must instead try to *generalize* the equation. For *any* list ys ,

$$\textcircled{ys} ++ \text{List}(x)).\text{reverse} = x :: \textcircled{ys}.\text{reverse}$$

This equation can be proved by a second induction argument on ys .

Auxiliary Equation, Base Case

$$y_s = Nil$$

`(Nil ++ List(x)).reverse` `// to show: = x :: Nil.reverse`

Auxiliary Equation, Base Case

```
(Nil ++ List(x)).reverse    // to show: = x :: Nil.reverse  
  
= List(x).reverse          // by 1st clause of ++
```


Auxiliary Equation, Base Case

```
(Nil ++ List(x)).reverse      // to show: = x :: Nil.reverse  
  
= List(x).reverse          // by 1st clause of ++  
  
= (x :: Nil).reverse      // by definition of List
```

Auxiliary Equation, Base Case


```
(Nil ++ List(x)).reverse      // to show: = x :: Nil.reverse  
  
= List(x).reverse           // by 1st clause of ++  
  
= (x :: Nil).reverse        // by definition of List  
= Nil.reverse ++ List(x)  // by definition of List  
= Nil ++ (x :: Nil)        // by 2nd clause of reverse
```

Auxiliary Equation, Base Case

```
(Nil ++ List(x)).reverse      // to show: = x :: Nil.reverse
= List(x).reverse            // by 1st clause of ++
= (x :: Nil).reverse         // by definition of List
= Nil ++ (x :: Nil)         // by 2nd clause of reverse
= x :: Nil                   // by 1st clause of ++
```

Auxiliary Equation, Base Case

```
(Nil ++ List(x)).reverse    // to show: = x :: Nil.reverse
= List(x).reverse          // by 1st clause of ++
= (x :: Nil).reverse        // by definition of List
= Nil ++ (x :: Nil)         // by 2nd clause of reverse
= x :: Nil                 // by 1st clause of ++
= x :: Nil.reverse        // by 1st clause of reverse
```



||

Auxiliary Equation, Inductive Step

```
((y :: ys) ++ List(x)).reverse
```

```
// to show: = x :: (y :: ys).reverse
```

Auxiliary Equation, Inductive Step

```
((y :: ys) ++ List(x)).reverse  
= (y :: (ys ++ List(x))).reverse
```

```
// to show: = x :: (y :: ys).reverse
```

```
// by 2nd clause of ++
```

Auxiliary Equation, Inductive Step

```
((y :: ys) ++ List(x)).reverse           // to show: = x :: (y :: ys).reverse  
  
= (y :: (ys ++ List(x))).reverse       // by 2nd clause of ++  
  
= (ys ++ List(x)).reverse ++ List(y)  // by 2nd clause of reverse
```

Auxiliary Equation, Inductive Step

```
((y :: ys) ++ List(x)).reverse           // to show: = x :: (y :: ys).reverse  
  
= (y :: (ys ++ List(x))).reverse         // by 2nd clause of ++  
  
= (ys ++ List(x)).reverse ++ List(y)   // by 2nd clause of reverse  
  
= (x :: ys.reverse) ++ List(y)       // by the induction hypothesis
```


Auxiliary Equation, Inductive Step

```
((y :: ys) ++ List(x)).reverse           // to show: = x :: (y :: ys).reverse
= (y :: (ys ++ List(x))).reverse         // by 2nd clause of ++
= (ys ++ List(x)).reverse ++ List(y)     // by 2nd clause of reverse
= (x :: ys.reverse) ++ List(y)          // by the induction hypothesis
= x :: (ys.reverse ++ List(y))          // by 1st clause of ++
```

Auxiliary Equation, Inductive Step

<code>((y :: ys) ++ List(x)).reverse</code>	<code>// to show: = x :: (y :: ys).reverse</code>
<code>= (y :: (ys ++ List(x))).reverse</code>	<i>unfold</i> <code>// by 2nd clause of ++</code>
<code>= (ys ++ List(x)).reverse ++ List(y)</code>	<code>// by 2nd clause of reverse</code>
<code>= (x :: ys.reverse) ++ List(y)</code>	<code>// by <u>the induction hypothesis</u></code>
<code>= x :: (ys.reverse ++ List(y))</code>	<code>// by 1st clause of ++</code>
<code>= x :: (y :: ys).reverse</code>	<i>fold</i> <code>// by 2nd clause of reverse</code>

This establishes the auxiliary equation, and with it the main proposition.

fold/unfold method

Exercise (Open-Ended, Harder)

Prove the following distribution law for map over concatenation.

For any lists xs , ys , function f :

$$(xs ++ ys) \text{ map } f = (xs \text{ map } f) ++ (ys \text{ map } f)$$

You will need the clauses of $++$ as well as the following clauses for map :

$$\text{Nil map } f = \text{Nil}$$

$$(x :: xs) \text{ map } f = f(x) :: (xs \text{ map } f)$$